## **Computational Complexity**



Hao Chung (鍾豪)

## Self Introduction

## 台大電機碩士

前 DEXON foundation 區塊鏈研究員

- 2016 暑期密碼學課程學生
- 2017 暑期密碼學助教

研究興趣: quantum cryptography, blockchain



- 1. Big-Oh notation
- 2. Polynomial-Time Reduction
- 3. P v.s. NP



Suppose we want to compute a function f(n)

- Algorithm 1 runs in 100,000*n* seconds
- Algorithm 2 runs in  $n^2$  seconds

Which algorithm is better?

- Algorithm 2 runs faster if n < 100,000
- Algorithm 1 runs faster if n > 100,000

The ratio of running times goes to infinity

## How to Compare Algorithms

Suppose we want to compute a function g(n)

- Algorithm 1 runs in 20*n* seconds
- Algorithm 2 runs in 10n + 30 seconds

Which algorithm is better?

- Algorithm 2 runs faster when n > 3
- However, it is only twice as fast when  $n \to \infty$

# **Big-Oh**

## Definition (Big-Oh)

Let f(n) and g(n) be functions from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then,

 $O(f(n)) = \{g(n): \exists c, n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \le g(n) \le c \cdot f(n) \text{ for all } n > n_0\}$ 

In human language,  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$  if

- 1. there exists a constant c and a threshold  $n_0$  such that
- 2.  $g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$  for all n larger than  $n_0$

**Remark:** Sometimes we abuse the notation and write g(n) = O(f(n)); however, you should aware that O(f(n)) is a set.

## **Big-Oh Examples**

#### Example

Let f(n) = 10n + 30 and g(n) = 20n.

Prove that  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  and  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$ .

#### **Proof:**

Let  $c = 1, n_0 = 3$ . Then,

If  $n \ge n_0$ , then  $f(n) = 10n + 30 \le 20n = cg(n)$ .

Let  $c = 2, n_0 = 1$ . Then,

If  $n \ge n_0$ , then  $g(n) = 20n \le 2(10n + 30) = cf(n)$ .

#### Example

Let f(n) = 100,000n and  $g(n) = n^2$ . Prove that  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ .



#### Example

Let  $f(n) = n^4 + 3n + 500$ . Prove that  $f(n) \in O(n^4)$ .



Big Oh allows us to ignore constant factors:

- For any constant c, we have  $c \cdot f(n) \in O(f(n))$ .
- For example, addition costs 1 iteration and division costs 32 iteration on x86 CPU .

But whether counting division as an elementary operation doesn't affect the time complexity.

## Properties of Big-Oh

Big Oh allows us to ignore lower order terms:

• If  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ , then  $g(n) + f(n) \in O(g(n))$ .

•  $O(n^4 + 3n^2 + 1) = O(n^4).$ 

• If there are many parts in an algorithm, the most expensive part will dominate.

# Big-Omega

#### Definition (Big-Omega)

Let f(n) and g(n) be functions from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then,

 $\Omega(f(n)) = \{g(n): \exists c, n_0 \text{ such that } g(n) \ge c \cdot f(n) \ge 0 \text{ for all } n > n_0\}$ 

In human language,  $g(n) \in \Omega(f(n))$  if

- 1. there exists a constant c and a threshold  $n_0$  such that
- 2.  $g(n) \ge c \cdot f(n)$  for all n larger than  $n_0$

# **Big-Theta**

#### Definition (Big-Theta)

Let f(n) and g(n) be functions from  $\mathbb{R}^+$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then,

$$\Theta(f(n)) = \begin{cases} g(n) : \exists c_1, c_2, n_0 \text{ such that} \\ 0 \le c_1 \cdot f(n) \le g(n) \le c_2 \cdot f(n) \text{ for all } n > n_0 \end{cases}$$

In human language,  $g(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$  if

- 1. there exists two constants  $c_1, c_2$  and a threshold  $n_0$  such that
- 2.  $c_1 \cdot f(n) \le g(n) \le c_2 \cdot f(n)$  for all *n* larger than  $n_0$

## Examples

### Example

Let  $f(n) = n^4 + 3n + 500$ .

```
Prove that f(n) \in \Omega(n^3 \log n) and f(n) \in \Theta(n^4).
```

#### Example

Prove that 
$$\log n \in O(n^k)$$
, for all  $k > 0$ .

#### Example

Prove that 
$$n^k \in O(n^{\log n})$$
, for all  $k > 0$ .

#### Example

Let 
$$f(n) = \frac{n}{\log n}$$
 and  $g(n) = n^{1-\delta}$  for some  $\delta \in (0,1)$ .

Prove that  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$  or  $g(n) \in O(f(n))$ .

Hao Chung (鍾豪)

- 1. Big-Oh notation
- 2. Polynomial-Time Reduction
- 3. P v.s. NP



# Probabilistic Polynomial-Time (PPT)

In cryptography, we usually define the power of adversary as a probabilistic polynomial-time (PPT) algorithm.

An algorithm is polynomial-time if its running time is  $O(n^k)$  for some k.

An algorithm is probabilistic (or randomized) if we allow the algorithm to "toss a coin" at each step.

### Example (Primality-Test)

- 1. randomly choose  $a \in \{2, \dots, N-1\}$
- 2. if  $a^{N-1} \neq 1$ , output *N* is a composite
- 3. run step 1. and step 2. many times
- 4. if none of  $a^{N-1} \neq 1$  in previous steps, output N is likely a prime

## **Polynomial-Time Reduction**

Problem  $\mathcal{A}$  is polynomial-time reducible to problem  $\mathcal{B}$  if

- 1. given an oracle of  $\mathcal{B}$
- 2.  $\mathcal{A}$  can be solved in polynomial-time

We write " $\mathcal{A} \leq_p \mathcal{B}$ " to denote that  $\mathcal{A}$  is polynomial-time reducible to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In this case, we say that problem  $\mathcal{A}$  is no harder than problem  $\mathcal{B}$ .



## **RSA Encryption**

#### ALICE

Message x = 4

#### BOB

1. Choose p = 3 and q = 11

2. Compute 
$$n = p * q = 33$$

3. 
$$\Phi(n) = (3-1)^*(11-1) = 20$$

4. Choose *e* = 3

$$K_{pub} = (33,3)$$
 5.  $d \equiv e^{-1} \equiv 7 \mod 20$ 

 $y = x^e \equiv 4^3 \equiv 31 \mod 33$ 

y = 31

 $y^d = 31^7 \equiv 4 = x \mod 33$ 

Chapter 7 of Understanding Cryptography by Christof Paar and Jan Pelzl

Hao Chung (鍾豪)

## **RSA Problem**

### Definition (RSA problem)

Given *N*, *e*, *c* such that  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $gcd(e, \phi(N)) = 1$ , find *m* such that  $m^e \equiv c \pmod{N}$ .

Suppose we have a factoring oracle  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Then, we construct a RSA solver as follow.

- 1. query  $\mathcal{O}$  and get  $N = p \cdot q$
- 2. compute  $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$
- 3. compute  $d = e^{-1} (mod \phi(N))$
- 4. compute  $m = c^d \pmod{N}$

Hao Chung (鍾豪)

Hence, we can say that RSA problem  $\leq_p$  factoring problem



## **Reduction in Security Proof**

In cryptography, we often show the security of a scheme E by

# $\underset{\text{(known difficulty)}}{\text{assumption}} \leq_p \text{breaking E}$

Hao Chung (鍾豪)

- 1. Big-Oh notation
- 2. Polynomial-Time Reduction
- 3. P v.s. NP



## P and NP

### Definition (P) (informal)

**P** is the set of problems that can be solved in polynomial time.

#### Definition (NP) (informal)

NP is the set of problems that can be checked in polynomial time given a solution.

Strictly speaking, P and NP only include decisional problems.

Hao Chung (鍾豪)



#### Definition (Primes problem)

Given an integer N, decide whether N is a prime or not.

In 2002, Manindra Agrawal, Neeraj Kayal and Nitin Saxena finally showed that Primes problem is in **P**.

If we can try the division up to  $\sqrt{N}$ , why Primes problem  $\in \mathbf{P}$  doesn't hold trivially?

Key: the running time is counted in input size.

Hao Chung (鍾豪)

## Definition (P)

**P** is the set of decisional problems that can be solved in time O(p(n)) for some polynomial p(n) in the input size n.

#### Definition (NP)

**NP** is the set of decisional problems that can be checked in time O(p(n)) for some polynomial p(n) in the input size n given a solution.



Hao Chung (鍾豪)